

**REMARKS**

In the Examiner's reasons for allowance, the Examiner states (portions omitted for brevity):

the Prior Art neither teaches nor reasonable suggests a combination of method steps for retaining an illuminating cable assembly ... said illuminator cable assembly to retain the illuminator cable assembly against said surface.

Applicant agrees that the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited limitations. The Examiner, however, did not accurately cite limitations from the claims. Applicant submits that no words or terms should be "read into" a claim based on the statements of the Examiner. Instead, the present claims are allowable for at least the reason that the art of record does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of the claims as actually recited in the claims themselves. Applicant therefore respectfully asserts that the scope of each claim as allowed is to be determined from the actual claim language, including all equivalents.

Date: July 25, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Philip S. Lyren  
Reg. No. 40,709  
Ph: 281-514-8236